



SDI Review Form 1.5

PART A:

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/IJTDH/1793
Title of the Manuscript:	TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY FOR BONE MARROW TRANSPLANTATION TO CURE SICKLE CELL DISEASE USING THE DIFFUSION OF INNOVATION THEORETICAL MODEL.

(Note: Title of different sections as proposed below may differ in case of review paper)



SDI Review Form 1.5

PART B: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected'/ if not agreed, give suitable justifications)
General comment:	This manuscript is interesting and well written.	
Specific comments:	This manuscript is a review article covering all aspects of bone marrow transplantation for patients with sickle cell anemia. The manuscript is too long and needs to be shortened.	
Title and abstract	The title is confusing and should be changed to: BONE MARROW TRANSPLANTATION TO CURE SICKLE CELL DISEASE USING THE DIFFUSION OF INNOVATION THEORETICAL MODEL.	I Agree with the reviewer
Introduction (Is the problem/objective of this study original, important and well defined?)	Ok	
Are all the references cited relevant, adequate? Are there any other suitable current references authors need to cite?	OK	
Materials & methods (Kindly comment on the suitability of the methods. Sufficient details of the methods should be provided to allow peers to evaluate and/or replicate the work)	NA	
Results & discussion (Kindly comment on: 1. Are the data well controlled and robust? 2. Authors should provide relevant references during discussion. 3. Discussion and conclusions should be based on actual facts and figures. Biased claims should be pointed out. 4. Are statistical analyses must for this paper? If yes, have sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses been carried out?)	NA	
Are there any ethical or competing interest issues?	No	
Conclusion (Is the conclusion supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript? Conclusions should not be biased and should be based on the data, presented inside the manuscript only)	OK	
References	OK	I also included one other author suggested by the third reviewer.